

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,119	03/10/2004	Tomio Sato	02410360AA	2469
30743 73	590 06/10/2005	EXAMINER		
•	CURTIS & CHRIST(THILLS ROAD	FISHMAN,	FISHMAN, MARINA	
SUITE 340	i inddo koʻrid		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20190		2832	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/796,119	SATO, TOMIO
Examiner	Art Unit
Marina Fishman	2832

Advisory Action	10/796,119	SATO, TOMIO				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marina Fishman	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
• •		<u>-</u>				
ERPLY FILED 18 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		\	naine fan baya			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supplementary of the composition	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicants arguments with regard to claims 1 - 3 are n require an automatic alignment) and do not overcome	ot commensurate with the scope o	<u>f the claims (the clain</u>	nce because: <u>is do not</u>			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13.	Q	Ge D				
		VSPE-A42	731			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						